

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

11 Plaintiff,

v.

12 SUMIT GARG,

13 Defendant.

CASE NO. CR21-0045-JCC

ORDER

14  
15 This matter comes before the Court on Defendant's motion to reconsider an order (Dkt.  
16 No. 963) denying a motion to compel a polygraph (Dkt. No. 967). Having thoroughly considered  
17 the briefing and the relevant record, the Court hereby DENIES the motion for the reasons  
18 explained herein.

19 As an initial matter, this Court previously denied reconsideration of Defendant's motion  
20 to compel a polygraph. (*See* Dkt. No. 963.) Defendant now moves for a second reconsideration.  
21 Under the Local Criminal Rules, “[m]otions for reconsideration are disfavored.” CrR  
22 12(b)(13)(A). “The court will ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a showing of  
23 manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not  
24 have been brought to its attention earlier with reasonable diligence.” *Id.*

25 Defendant has not met this standard. As before, he provides no authority empowering this  
26 Court to compel a government polygraph at his request.

1 For those reasons, Defendant's motion (Dkt. No. 967) is DENIED.  
2  
3

4 DATED this 24th day of July 2024.  
5  
6

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26



John C. Coughenour  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE